

Message

---

**From:** Wirick, Holiday [wirick.holiday@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 9/13/2021 5:32:38 PM  
**To:** Fish, Tonya [Fish.Tonya@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Pierce, Maggie [Pierce.Maggie@epa.gov]; Moon, Dave [Moon.Dave@epa.gov]; Parrish, George [Parrish.George@epa.gov]; Laidlaw, Tina [Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Re: National call Tues

Hi Tonya, **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

For the R8 report-out on the WQS call tomorrow, the short answer is that neither North Dakota or South Dakota are using human health benchmarks for pesticides, and neither state is doing site-specific numeric translations of narratives to address PFAS/PFOA.

The long answer re: use of HHBPs in SD, Shannon said she'll talk with Sean about comparing any WQ sampling results to the benchmarks, but as far as using the HHBP to derive HHC for pesticides, she'd venture to say that SD will wait for EPA to come up with some numbers then probably eventually adopt those numbers.

Re: PFAS/PFOA in ND, Pete said they're not anywhere near that far along. The state is sampling for PFAS. Pete said he was involved with the report ([https://deg.nd.gov/Publications/MF/PFAS\\_Report.pdf](https://deg.nd.gov/Publications/MF/PFAS_Report.pdf)), but has not had much time to devote to it in the last couple years.

Re: PFAS/PFOA in SD, Shannon said she doesn't think the state is doing anything with site-specific numeric translations of narratives to address PFAS/PFOA either. She said they would definitely use their narratives if they had an issue and needed a rule to refer to. As far as developing anything numeric, they probably wouldn't do that either. They would wait for EPA to come up with a criterion and go from there.

Thanks - **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

---

**From:** Fish, Tonya <Fish.Tonya@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 10, 2021 2:17 PM  
**To:** Moon, Dave <Moon.Dave@epa.gov>; Parrish, George <Parrish.George@epa.gov>; Pierce, Maggie <Pierce.Maggie@epa.gov>; Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** National call Tues

Happy Friday!

So the national call on Tues. has this on the agenda: How are States/Tribes using Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides (HHBPs)?

I can speak to how MT has used them in deriving HHC for pesticides, but do any other R8 states/tribes use them?

Other topic is: Site specific numeric translations of narratives to address PFAS/PFOA: are states going this route? What sources of information are they relying on?

I'm taking Monday off **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** but let me know if there is anything you want me to say if you can't make the call Tues.

Thanks,  
Tonya